



Rethinking Quality Management in Educational Institutions: A Systematic Literature Review of ISO 9001:2015 and Total Quality Management

Lolita H. Mante 

International Journal of Interdisciplinary Viewpoints, (2)7, 63-67, 2026

<https://doi.org/10.64612/ijiv.v2i7.195>

Correspondence: L.mante@email.dmsf.edu.ph
Davao Medical School Foundation, Inc., Philippines

Abstract

Quality Management Systems (QMS), particularly ISO 9001:2015 and Total Quality Management (TQM), have become important frameworks for enhancing institutional effectiveness, accountability, and continuous improvement in educational institutions. This study examines the implementation of QMS in education by synthesizing evidence on implementation methodologies, organizational benefits, operational challenges, and research gaps. A systematic literature review was conducted using peer-reviewed journal articles retrieved from Google Scholar, ERIC, ScienceDirect, Emerald, Taylor & Francis, and Springer. Following predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria, 21 studies were selected and analyzed through thematic analysis. The findings indicate that QMS implementation strengthens operational efficiency, institutional performance, and quality culture by promoting standardized processes, continuous monitoring, evidence-based decision-making, and leadership commitment. However, the review also identifies persistent challenges, including bureaucratic workload, restrictive standardization, and certification-oriented implementation, which may reduce organizational flexibility and shift institutional priorities toward procedural compliance. The findings suggest that the effectiveness of quality management systems depends on their strategic integration into institutional leadership, organizational culture, and continuous improvement initiatives rather than on certification alone. The study concludes that educational institutions can achieve sustainable organizational improvement by implementing quality management as a comprehensive institutional strategy that balances accountability with instructional flexibility. Future research should investigate the long-term effectiveness of QMS across diverse educational contexts using comparative, longitudinal, and mixed-methods approaches.

Keywords

Quality Management Systems, ISO 9001:2015, Total Quality Management, educational institutions, systematic literature review

How to Cite

Mante, L. (2026). Rethinking Quality Management in Educational Institutions: A Systematic Literature Review of ISO 9001:2015 and Total Quality Management. *International Journal of Interdisciplinary Viewpoints*, 2(7), 63–67. <https://doi.org/10.64612/ijiv.v2i7.195>

Received: 10 May 2026

Accepted: 15 July 2026

Published: 30 July 2026

Copyright and License

© 2026 The Author. Published by Edukar Publishing. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer Review Statement

This article underwent a double-anonymous peer review process in accordance with the editorial policies of the *International Journal of Interdisciplinary Viewpoints*. Both author and reviewers remained anonymous throughout the review process.

Open Access Statement

The *International Journal of Interdisciplinary Viewpoints* is a fully open-access, peer-reviewed journal. All articles are freely available online immediately upon publication without subscription or access fees.

Funding Statement

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of Interest

The author declared no conflicts of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Author Contributions

The author contributed to conceptualization, methodology, investigation, writing—original draft preparation, writing—review and editing, and supervision. The author approved the final manuscript.

Ethics Statement

This study was conducted in accordance with ethical standards.

INTRODUCTION

Quality Management Systems (QMS) have become an essential component of organizational governance as institutions seek to improve operational efficiency, accountability, and continuous improvement in increasingly competitive environments. Among the most widely adopted frameworks are ISO 9001:2015 and Total Quality Management (TQM), which emphasize customer focus, process orientation, leadership, evidence-based decision-making, and continual improvement.

Across various organizational settings, these quality management approaches have been associated with enhanced organizational performance, service quality, and sustainable institutional development when implementation is embedded within organizational strategy rather than pursued solely for certification purposes (Talib et al., 2013; Tarí, 2011; Tarí & Dick, 2016; Wang et al., 2012). Furthermore, maintaining certification requires organizations to sustain continuous improvement practices beyond the initial implementation stage, reinforcing the long-term value of quality management systems (Camango & Cândido, 2023). Within the education sector, quality management has evolved from a compliance-oriented activity into a strategic approach for strengthening institutional effectiveness, governance, and accountability. Educational institutions increasingly implement ISO 9001:2015 and TQM to standardize academic and administrative processes, improve service delivery, and support continuous quality assurance initiatives. Previous reviews have shown that quality management enhances institutional performance by promoting systematic planning, stakeholder engagement, process consistency, and organizational learning (Jasti et al., 2022; Nasim et al., 2020; Tarí & Dick, 2016). Studies conducted in educational settings further demonstrate that TQM supports school improvement by fostering collaborative leadership, employee involvement, and a culture of continuous quality enhancement, which collectively strengthen institutional effectiveness (Svensson & Klefsjö, 2006; Töremen et al., 2009). More recent evidence likewise indicates that effective quality assurance systems contribute to improved institutional performance when leadership commitment and organizational alignment are sustained throughout implementation (Albaroudi et al., 2025; Iqbal et al., 2025; Serrano et al., 2025).

Despite these documented benefits, the implementation of quality management systems may also create organizational challenges when quality initiatives become overly procedural or compliance driven. Rigid documentation requirements, bureaucratic structures, and standardized processes may reduce institutional flexibility and increase administrative demands, particularly within educational institutions where innovation and responsiveness are essential. Previous studies have emphasized that excessive bureaucracy and procedural conformity may limit the intended benefits of quality management when institutional improvement is overshadowed by compliance activities (Liu, 2021; Sirvanci, 2004; Skipper, 2018). At the same time, contemporary discussions on institutional sustainability highlight that quality management should be integrated with broader organizational responsibilities, including social accountability and sustainable institutional development, to ensure long-term organizational effectiveness (Meseguer-Sánchez et al., 2020).

Although research on quality management in higher education has expanded considerably, important gaps remain in the existing literature. Previous investigations have primarily examined accreditation, certification, implementation barriers, or institutional performance independently, providing limited synthesis of how implementation strategies, organizational challenges, and quality outcomes collectively influence the effectiveness of ISO 9001:2015 and TQM in educational settings (Jasti et al., 2022; Nasim et al., 2020; Tarí & Dick, 2016). Furthermore, evidence suggests that leadership commitment, organizational culture, and continuous improvement remain critical determinants of successful implementation, indicating the need for a more integrated understanding of how these factors contribute to sustainable institutional excellence (Silva et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2012).

Accordingly, this systematic literature review synthesizes existing evidence on the implementation of ISO 9001:2015 and Total Quality Management in educational institutions to examine implementation approaches, organizational benefits, operational challenges, and implications for institutional performance. Specifically, the review identifies recurring implementation themes, evaluates evidence regarding the effectiveness of quality management systems, and determines research gaps that may guide future investigations. By integrating findings from diverse educational contexts, this study contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of quality management implementation and provides evidence-based insights for institutional leaders, quality assurance practitioners, policymakers, and researchers seeking to strengthen institutional quality and continuous improvement.

METHODOLOGY

Design

This study employed a systematic literature review (SLR) to synthesize and critically examine existing evidence on the implementation of Quality Management Systems (QMS), particularly ISO 9001:2015 and Total Quality Management (TQM), in educational institutions. The review adopted a systematic and transparent approach to identify, evaluate, and synthesize relevant peer-reviewed studies that examined quality management practices in educational settings. This research design was selected because it enables the integration of empirical findings from multiple studies, facilitating a comprehensive understanding of implementation strategies, organizational benefits, operational challenges, and research gaps related to quality management in education.

Sampling

Criterion-based purposive sampling was employed to identify studies relevant to the objectives of the review. Eligible studies investigated the implementation of ISO 9001:2015, Total Quality Management, quality assurance systems, or quality management practices in educational institutions. Only peer-reviewed journal articles published in English were included to ensure the credibility and quality of the synthesized evidence. Qualitative, quantitative, mixed-methods, and review studies were considered to provide comprehensive perspectives on quality management implementation. Conference proceedings, editorials, opinion papers, dissertations, and studies unrelated to educational quality management were excluded. Preference was given to recent publications while retaining foundational studies that have made significant contributions to quality management research in educational settings.

Collection

Relevant studies were systematically retrieved from Google Scholar, ERIC, ScienceDirect, Emerald, Taylor & Francis, and Springer using combinations of keywords related to quality management systems, ISO 9001:2015, Total Quality

Management, quality assurance, higher education, and educational institutions. All retrieved records were organized using Zotero to facilitate reference management, duplicate removal, and systematic screening. The search initially identified 120 records. Following duplicate removal, title and abstract screening were conducted to determine the relevance of each study to the objectives of the review. Potentially eligible articles subsequently underwent full-text assessment based on the established inclusion and exclusion criteria. Studies were retained if they examined the implementation, effectiveness, challenges, or organizational impacts of quality management systems within educational institutions. After the screening and eligibility assessment, 21 peer-reviewed studies satisfied all selection criteria and were included in the final synthesis. To improve the completeness of the review, the reference lists of the selected studies were also examined to identify relevant publications represented within the final dataset.

Analysis

Information extracted from each selected study included the authors, publication year, country of origin, research design, study objectives, participant characteristics where available, principal findings, conclusions, recommendations, and practical implications. The extracted information was organized into a synthesis matrix to facilitate systematic comparison across studies. The collected evidence was analyzed using thematic analysis to identify recurring patterns, similarities, and differences in the implementation of quality management systems across educational institutions. Through iterative analysis, three major themes emerged: challenges in quality management systems implementation, methodologies for implementing quality management systems, and organizational impacts of quality management systems. These themes served as the basis for interpreting the synthesized evidence and discussing its implications for educational quality management.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was not required because the study involved the analysis of published scholarly literature and did not include human participants, confidential institutional records, or primary data collection. Nevertheless, the review adhered to accepted principles of academic integrity by implementing transparent study selection procedures, accurately representing the findings of the reviewed studies, and acknowledging all original sources through appropriate citation in accordance with APA 7th Edition guidelines. Every stage of the review was conducted objectively to minimize researcher bias and enhance the credibility and trustworthiness of the synthesized evidence.

Limitations and Rigor

The review was limited to peer-reviewed journal articles published in English and indexed in the selected academic databases. Consequently, relevant studies published in other languages or available as grey literature may not have been included. Variations in educational contexts, institutional settings, and research methodologies among the selected studies may also have influenced the reported findings. Despite these limitations, methodological rigor was strengthened through clearly defined inclusion and exclusion criteria, systematic database searching, duplicate removal, structured data extraction, and transparent thematic analysis. These procedures enhanced the credibility, dependability, and reproducibility of the review while providing a comprehensive synthesis of current evidence on the implementation of quality management systems in educational institutions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 summarizes the principal challenges associated with the implementation of Quality Management Systems (QMS) in educational institutions. The reviewed studies consistently identify bureaucratic workload, restrictive standardization, and certification-oriented implementation as recurring barriers to the effective application of ISO 9001:2015 and Total Quality Management (TQM). These findings indicate that while quality management systems provide structured mechanisms for improving institutional processes, excessive documentation requirements and standardized procedures may increase administrative responsibilities and reduce organizational flexibility. Such conditions may divert institutional attention from continuous improvement toward procedural compliance, particularly when quality initiatives are implemented primarily to satisfy certification requirements rather than to improve institutional performance.

The reviewed literature supports these findings by demonstrating that bureaucratic structures and highly standardized procedures can limit innovation, responsiveness, and professional autonomy within educational institutions (Liu, 2021; Sirvanci, 2004; Skipper, 2018). Similarly, organizations that focus predominantly on maintaining certification may experience fewer organizational benefits than those that integrate quality management into institutional strategy and continuous improvement initiatives (Camango & Cândido, 2023; Tarí & Dick, 2016; Wang et al., 2012). These findings suggest that the effectiveness of QMS depends not only on compliance with established standards but also on the extent to which quality management principles are embedded within institutional leadership, organizational culture, and decision-making processes. Consequently, educational institutions should adopt implementation strategies that balance accountability requirements with institutional flexibility to promote sustainable quality improvement.

Table 1
Challenges in Quality Management Systems Implementation

Theme	Description	Supporting Literature
Bureaucratic Workload	Extensive documentation, compliance requirements, and administrative procedures that increase institutional workload and divert attention from core educational functions.	Liu (2021); Sirvanci (2004); Skipper (2018)
Restrictive Standardization	Standardized procedures that may limit institutional flexibility, innovation, and responsiveness to diverse educational needs.	Sirvanci (2004); Skipper (2018); Venkatraman (2007)
Certification-Oriented Implementation	Emphasis on maintaining certification rather than fostering continuous improvement may reduce the long-term effectiveness of quality management systems.	Camango & Cândido (2023); Wang et al. (2012); Tarí & Dick (2016)

Table 2 presents the principal methodologies employed in implementing Quality Management Systems across educational institutions. The reviewed studies indicate that documentation systems, continuous monitoring and evaluation, and leadership-supported continuous improvement constitute the primary mechanisms through which quality management is operationalized. These methodologies facilitate process consistency, institutional accountability, and evidence-based decision-making while supporting systematic organizational improvement.

The findings demonstrate that standardized documentation provides an essential foundation for maintaining consistency in institutional processes and ensuring compliance with established quality standards (Mathrani et al., 2022). Likewise, continuous monitoring and evaluation enable institutions to assess organizational performance, identify process deficiencies, and implement corrective actions that contribute to continuous improvement (Sfakianaki et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2012). Leadership commitment further strengthens implementation by fostering employee engagement, organizational learning, and a culture that supports sustained quality improvement (Silva et al., 2021; Svensson & Klefsjö, 2006; Talib et al., 2013). Collectively, these findings indicate that successful implementation extends beyond procedural compliance and requires active organizational participation, strategic leadership, and a long-term commitment to institutional excellence. Educational institutions should therefore integrate documentation, evaluation, and leadership practices into a coherent quality management framework that supports continuous organizational development.

Table 2
Methodologies of Quality Management Systems Implementation

Theme	Description	Supporting Literature
Documentation Systems	Standardized documentation and record management to ensure process consistency, traceability, and compliance with quality standards.	Mathrani et al. (2022)
Continuous Monitoring and Evaluation	Internal quality audits, performance evaluation, and systematic monitoring used to identify areas for improvement and support evidence-based decision-making.	Sfakianaki et al. (2023); Wang et al. (2012)
Leadership and Continuous Improvement	Leadership commitment, employee participation, and organizational learning that promote a culture of continuous quality improvement.	Silva et al. (2021); Svensson & Klefsjö (2006); Talib et al. (2013)

Table 3 highlights the organizational impacts of Quality Management Systems implementation in educational institutions. The reviewed evidence consistently demonstrates that quality management contributes to improved operational efficiency, enhanced institutional performance, and the development of a quality-oriented organizational culture. These outcomes indicate that effective implementation of ISO 9001:2015 and TQM strengthens institutional governance by promoting systematic processes, continuous evaluation, and evidence-based management.

Previous studies similarly report that quality management systems improve organizational performance through enhanced coordination, process optimization, and strategic quality assurance initiatives (Albaroudi et al., 2025; Iqbal et al., 2025; Serrano et al., 2025; Serrano et al., 2026). Furthermore, embedding quality management within institutional culture promotes stakeholder engagement, organizational learning, and sustainable institutional development, thereby reinforcing continuous improvement beyond compliance with quality standards (Meseguer-Sánchez et al., 2020; Tarí, 2011; Töremen et al., 2009). These findings are further supported by evidence indicating that leadership commitment and strategic integration are essential for sustaining the long-term effectiveness of quality management systems (Tarí & Dick, 2016; Wang et al., 2012). Overall, the findings suggest that educational institutions achieve the greatest benefits from quality management when quality assurance is embedded within institutional planning, leadership practices, and organizational culture, enabling continuous improvement while supporting educational excellence.

Table 3
Organizational Impacts of Quality Management Systems Implementation in Educational Institutions

Theme	Description	Supporting Literature
Operational Efficiency	Improved coordination, streamlined institutional processes, and enhanced organizational performance.	Albaroudi et al. (2025); Iqbal et al. (2025); Wang et al. (2012)
Institutional Performance	Strengthened quality assurance systems, organizational effectiveness, and institutional competitiveness.	Serrano et al. (2025); Serrano et al. (2026); Tarí & Dick (2016)
Quality Culture and Sustainability	Development of a culture of continuous improvement, stakeholder engagement, and sustainable institutional development through effective quality management practices.	Meseguer-Sánchez et al. (2020); Töremen et al. (2009); Tarí (2011)

Conclusion and Recommendations

This systematic literature review synthesized evidence on the implementation of ISO 9001:2015 and Total Quality Management (TQM) in educational institutions. The findings demonstrated that quality management systems enhance operational efficiency, process standardization, and institutional accountability through structured quality assurance and continuous improvement practices. However, the review also identified challenges, including increased bureaucratic workload, administrative demands, and reduced instructional flexibility when implementation is driven primarily by compliance rather than institutional improvement. Overall, the evidence suggests that the effectiveness of ISO 9001:2015 and TQM depends on their strategic integration into institutional leadership, organizational culture, and quality improvement initiatives. By critically synthesizing current evidence, this review contributes to a more balanced understanding of quality management in education and provides a foundation for strengthening institutional quality practices and future research.

Educational institutions should implement ISO 9001:2015 and TQM as integrated frameworks for continuous improvement rather than certification-oriented mechanisms. Institutional leaders should streamline documentation processes, strengthen leadership commitment, and promote quality cultures that support both accountability and instructional excellence. Future research should employ longitudinal, comparative, and mixed-methods approaches across

diverse educational contexts to examine the long-term effects of quality management systems on institutional performance, teaching quality, and organizational sustainability.

References

- Albaroudi, H. B., Altuwaijri, A. I., Albagieh, M. N., & Iqbal, S. (2025). Unlocking university performance: the role of staff commitment and accreditation effectiveness in Saudi universities. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 12(1), 1136. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-05533-0>
- Camango, C., & Cândido, C. J. (2023). ISO 9001 maintenance, decertification and recertification: a systematic literature review. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, 34(13-14), 1764-1796. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14783363.2023.2203379>
- Iqbal, T., Ahmad, S., Aftab, F., & Mahmood, C. K. (2025). Enhancing higher education institutions' performance: The mediating role of academic accreditation in quality management initiatives in UAE. *Sage Open*, 15(3), 21582440251358980. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440251358980>
- Jasti, N. V. K., Venkateswaran, V., & Kota, S. (2022). Total Quality Management in higher education: a literature review on barriers, customers and accreditation. *The TQM Journal*, 34(5), 1250-1272. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-11-2020-0256>
- Liu, C. (2021). Bureaucracy in higher education from a comparative perspective. In Proceedings of the 2021 International Conference on Education, Language and Art (pp. 143-147). Atlantis Press. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.211217.022>
- Mathrani, A., Wickramasinghe, S., & Jayamaha, N. P. (2022). An evaluation of documentation requirements for ISO 9001 compliance in Scrum projects. *The TQM Journal*, 34(5), 901-921. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-08-2020-0177>
- Meseguer-Sánchez, V., Abad-Segura, E., Belmonte-Ureña, L. J., & Molina-Moreno, V. (2020). Examining the research evolution on the socio-economic and environmental dimensions on university social responsibility. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(13), 4729. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17134729>
- Nasim, K., Sikander, A., & Tian, X. (2020). Twenty years of research on total quality management in higher education: A systematic literature review. *Higher Education Quarterly*, 74(1), 75-97. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hequ.12227>
- Serrano, O. M. E., Miranda González, F. J., & Mourato, J. A. B. (2025). Determinants of the effectiveness of quality assurance systems and institutional performance in higher education. *Cogent Education*, 12(1), 2581411. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2025.2581411>
- Serrano, O., Miranda Gonzalez, F., & Mourato, J. (2026). Impact of quality assurance on higher education institution performance: Differences between public and private institutions. *Societies*, 16(3), 76. <https://doi.org/10.3390/soc16030076>
- Sfakianaki, E., Kaiseroglou, N., & Kakouris, A. (2023). An instrument for studying TQM implementation in primary education: Development and empirical investigation. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 31(3), 452-468. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QAE-10-2022-0189>
- Silva, C. S., Magano, J., Matos, A., & Nogueira, T. (2021). Sustainable quality management systems in the current paradigm: The role of leadership. *Sustainability*, 13(4), Article 2056. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13042056>
- Sirvanci, M. B. (2004). Critical issues for TQM implementation in higher education. *The TQM Magazine*, 16(6), 382-386. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09544780410563293>
- Skipper, R. B. (2018). Education and bureaucracy. *International Journal of Applied Philosophy*, 32(1), 57-76. <https://doi.org/10.5840/ijap2018828101>
- Svensson, M., & Klefsjö, B. (2006). TQM-based self-assessment in the education sector: Experiences from a Swedish upper secondary school project. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 14(4), 299-323. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09684880610703929>
- Talib, F., Rahman, Z., & Qureshi, M. N. (2013). An empirical investigation of the relationship between total quality management practices and quality performance in Indian service companies. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 30(3), 280-318. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02656711311299845>
- Tarí, J. J. (2011). Research into quality management and social responsibility. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 102(4), 623-638. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-011-0833-x>
- Tarí, J. J., & Dick, G. (2016). Trends in quality management research in higher education institutions. *Journal of Service Theory and Practice*, 26(3), 273-296. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSTP-10-2014-0230>
- Töremen, F., Karakus, M., & Yasan, T. (2009). Total quality management practices in Turkish primary schools. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 17(1), 30-44. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09684880910929917>
- Venkatraman, S. (2007). A framework for implementing TQM in higher education programs. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 15(1), 92-112. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09684880710723052>
- Wang, C.-H., Chen, K.-Y., & Chen, S.-C. (2012). Total quality management, market orientation and hotel performance: The moderating effects of external environmental factors. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 31(1), 119-129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2011.03.013>